

## The global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2023 Country Results and Methodological Note

Sabina Alkire\*, Usha Kanagaratnam\*, Nicolai Suppa\*\*

July 2023

### Acknowledgements

We are truly grateful to the teams at the Demographic Health Surveys (under Sunita Kishor) and the Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (under Attila Hancioglu) for their continuous dedication, advice and support. We warmly acknowledge the advice received from Martin Romero Martinez, from the National Institute of Public Health of Mexico (INSP) in relation to the sample design of the Mexico dataset. We acknowledge the technical assistance we received from Lhachi Selden and Dyah Savitri Pritadrajati in the global MPI 2023 work. We are thankful to Juliana Yael Milovich for the technical discussions in regards to Mexico dataset. We are grateful for the guidance and contribution of Ricardo Nogales, on the sample bias analysis of the Mexico dataset. All errors remain our own.

### Attribution

The country (national) estimates are jointly produced by OPHI and HDRO using 110 survey datasets. The joint country results are published in Table 1 of the UNDP-OPHI Global Multidimensional Poverty Index 2023 report, *Unstacking Global Poverty: Data for High Impact Action*. Standard errors of the country estimates, which are produced by Alkire, S., Kanagaratnam, U., and Suppa, N. (2023), are made available in OPHI's [Data Table 1](#).

### Suggested citation of this methodological note

Alkire, S., Kanagaratnam, U., and Suppa, N. (2023). 'The global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2023 country results and methodological note', OPHI MPI Methodological Note 55, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI), University of Oxford.

\* Sabina Alkire, OPHI, Department of International Development, University of Oxford, [sabina.alkire@qeh.ox.ac.uk](mailto:sabina.alkire@qeh.ox.ac.uk).

\*\* Usha Kanagaratnam, OPHI, Department of International Development, University of Oxford, [usha.kanagaratnam@qeh.ox.ac.uk](mailto:usha.kanagaratnam@qeh.ox.ac.uk).

\*\*\* Nicolai Suppa, Center for Demographics Studies (a CERCA institute), Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain and EQUALITAS and OPHI, [nsuppa@ced.uab.es](mailto:nsuppa@ced.uab.es).

# Table of Contents

1. Overview	1
2. The global MPI structure	1
3. The global MPI and its partial indices	4
4. Tool to estimate MPI	6
5. Policies for the global MPI	6
6. Survey details of global MPI 2023	10
7. Country specific considerations	12
8. References	15

## 1. Overview

This Methodological Note presents the methodology and technical decisions behind the country (national) results of the global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2023. The 2023 MPI country results are based on the most recent data from 110 countries, covering 6.1 billion people.

This document is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the global MPI structure and indicator definitions. Section 3 provides an outline of the global MPI and its partial indices that we estimate and publish. Section 4 outlines the data management policies of the global MPI. Section 5 provides a summary of survey details. Section 6 summarises the country-specific technical decisions that were applied for each of the new or updated surveys. We conclude with brief closing reflections.

## 2. The global MPI structure<sup>1</sup>


The global MPI is a measure of acute poverty covering over 100 countries in the developing regions of the world. This measure is based on the dual-cutoff counting approach to poverty developed by Alkire and Foster (2011). The global MPI was developed in 2010 by Alkire and Santos (2014, 2010) in collaboration with the UNDP's Human Development Report Office (HDRO). Since its inception, the global MPI has used information from 10 indicators, which are grouped into three equally weighted dimensions: health, education, and living standards (Figure 1). These dimensions are the same as those used in the UNDP's Human Development Index.

In 2018, the first major revision of the global MPI was undertaken, in order to take into account improvements in survey microdata and better align to the 2030 development agenda insofar as possible (Alkire and Jahan, 2018). The revision consisted of adjustments in the definition of five out of the ten indicators, namely child mortality, nutrition, years of schooling, housing and assets. Alkire, Kanagaratnam, Nogales and Suppa (2022) provide a comprehensive analysis of the consequences of the 2018 revision. The normative and empirical decisions that underlie the revision of the global MPI, and adjustments related to the child mortality, nutrition, years of schooling and housing indicators are discussed in Alkire and Kanagaratnam (2021). The revision of assets indicator is detailed in Vollmer and Alkire (2022).

---

<sup>1</sup> The text in this section draws on methodological notes published for each update of the global MPI (see past updates by the authors, 2020, 2019; Alkire, Conconi, Robles and others, 2015) and the book by Alkire, Foster, Seth and others (2015). It is useful to include similar text in each methodological note, in order to provide an overview of the global MPI structure, as well as MPI and its partial indices to first-time users of the global MPI data.

Figure 1. Composition of the Global MPI – Dimensions and Indicators



The global MPI begins by establishing a deprivation profile for each person, showing which of the 10 indicators they are deprived in. Each person is identified as deprived or non-deprived in each indicator based on a deprivation cutoff (Table 1). In the case of health and education, each household member may be identified as deprived or not deprived according to available information for other household members. For example, if any household member for whom data exist is undernourished, each person in that household is considered deprived in nutrition. Taking this approach – which was required by the data – does not reveal intrahousehold disparities<sup>2</sup>, but is intuitive and assumes shared positive (or negative) effects of achieving (or not achieving) certain outcomes. Next, looking across indicators, each person’s deprivation score is constructed by adding up the weights of the indicators in which they are deprived. The indicators use a nested weight structure: equal weights across dimensions and an equal weight for each indicator within a dimension. The normalised indicator weight structure of the global MPI means that the living standard indicators receive lower weight than health and education related indicators because from a policy perspective, each of the three dimensions is of roughly equal normative importance.

<sup>2</sup> Though disparities within households can be explored in parallel. See Alkire, Ul Haq and Alim (2019).

**Table 1. Global MPI – Dimensions, Indicators, Deprivation Cutoffs, and Weights**

Dimensions	Indicator	Deprived if...	SDG area	Weight
Health	Nutrition	Any person under 70 years of age for whom there is nutritional information is <b>undernourished</b> . <sup>1</sup>	SDG 2	1/6
	Child mortality	A child <b>under 18</b> has <b>died</b> in the household in the five-year period preceding the survey. <sup>2</sup>	SDG 3	1/6
Education	Years of schooling	<b>No</b> eligible household member has completed <b>six years of schooling</b> . <sup>3</sup>	SDG 4	1/6
	School attendance	Any school-aged child is <b>not attending</b> school <b>up to</b> the age at which he/she would complete <b>class 8</b> . <sup>4</sup>	SDG 4	1/6
Living Standards	Cooking fuel	A household cooks using <b>solid fuel</b> , such as dung, agricultural crop, shrubs, wood, charcoal, or coal. <sup>5</sup>	SDG 7	1/18
	Sanitation	The household has <b>unimproved</b> or <b>no</b> sanitation <b>facility</b> or it is improved but <b>shared</b> with other households. <sup>6</sup>	SDG 6	1/18
	Drinking water	The household's source of <b>drinking water</b> is <b>not safe</b> or safe drinking water is a <b>30-minute</b> or <b>longer walk</b> from home, roundtrip. <sup>7</sup>	SDG 6	1/18
	Electricity	The household has <b>no electricity</b> . <sup>8</sup>	SDG 7	1/18
	Housing	The household has <b>inadequate</b> housing materials in <b>any</b> of the three components: <b>floor, roof, or walls</b> . <sup>9</sup>	SDG 11	1/18
	Assets	The household does <b>not own more than one</b> of these <b>assets</b> : radio, TV, telephone, computer, animal cart, bicycle, motorbike, or refrigerator, and does not own a car or truck.	SDG 1	1/18

**Notes:** The global MPI is related to the following SDGs: No Poverty (SDG 1), Zero Hunger (SDG 2), Health and Well-being (SDG 3), Quality Education (SDG 4), Clean Water and Sanitation (SDG 6), Affordable and Clean Energy (SDG 7), and Sustainable Cities and Communities (SDG 11).

<sup>1</sup> Children under 5 years (60 months and younger) are considered undernourished if their z-score of either height-for-age (stunting) or weight-for-age (underweight) is below minus two standard deviations from the median of the reference population. Children 5–19 years (61–228 months) are identified as deprived if their age-specific BMI cutoff is below minus two standard deviations. Adults aged 20 to 70 years (229–840 months) are considered undernourished if their Body Mass Index (BMI) is below 18.5 m/kg<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>2</sup> The child mortality indicator of the global MPI is based on birth history data provided by mothers aged 15 to 49. In most surveys, men have provided information on child mortality as well but this lacks the date of birth and death of the child. Hence, the indicator is constructed solely from mothers. However, if the data from the mother are missing, and if the male in the household reported no child mortality, then we identify no child mortality in the household.

<sup>3</sup> If all individuals in the household are in an age group where they should have formally completed 6 or more years of schooling, but none have this achievement, then the household is deprived. However, if any individuals aged 10 years and older reported 6 years or more of schooling, the household is not deprived.

<sup>4</sup> Data source for the age children start compulsory primary school: DHS or MICS survey reports; and <http://data.uis.unesco.org/>.

<sup>5</sup> If the survey report uses other definitions of solid fuel, we follow the survey report.

<sup>6</sup> A household is considered non-deprived in sanitation if it has some type of flush toilet or latrine, or ventilated improved pit or composting toilet, provided that they are not shared. If the survey report uses other definitions of improved sanitation, we follow the survey report.

<sup>7</sup> A household is considered non-deprived in drinking water if the water source is any of the following types: piped water, public tap, borehole or pump, protected well, protected spring, or rainwater. It must also be within a 30-minute walk, round trip. If the survey report uses other definitions of improved drinking water, we follow the survey report.

<sup>8</sup> A small number of countries do not collect data on electricity because of 100% coverage. In such cases, we identify all households in the country as non-deprived in electricity.

<sup>9</sup> Deprived if floor is made of natural materials (mud/clay/earth, sand or dung) or if dwelling has no roof or walls or if either the roof or walls are constructed using natural or rudimentary materials such as such as carton, plastic/ polythene sheeting, bamboo with mud/stone with mud, loosely packed stones, uncovered adobe, raw/reused wood, plywood, cardboard, unburnt brick or canvas/tent. The definition of natural and rudimentary materials follows the classification used in country-specific DHS or MICS questionnaires.

### 3. The global MPI and its partial indices

In the global MPI, a person is identified as multidimensionally poor or MPI poor if they are deprived in at least one-third of the weighted MPI indicators. In other words, a person is MPI poor if the person's deprivation score is equal to or higher than the poverty cutoff of 33.33 percent. After the poverty identification step, we aggregate across individuals to obtain the **incidence** of poverty or headcount ratio (H) which represents the percentage of poor people in the population. We then compute the **intensity** of poverty (A), representing the average percentage of weighted deprivations experienced by the *poor*. We then compute the adjusted poverty headcount ratio ( $M_0$ ) or **MPI** by combining H and A in a multiplicative form ( $MPI = H \times A$ ).

Both the incidence and the intensity of these deprivations are highly relevant pieces of information for poverty measurement. The incidence of poverty is intuitive and understandable by anyone. People always want to know how many poor people there are in a society as a proportion of the whole population. Media tend to pick up on the incidence of poverty easily. Yet, the proportion of poor people as the headline figure is not enough (Alkire, Oldiges and Kanagaratnam, 2021).

For example, we compare two countries with similar incidence of poverty. In Sierra Leone (DHS 2019) and Mauritania (2019-2021), some 59 percent of people are poor (incidence). Judged by this piece of information, these two countries are equally poor. However, in Sierra Leone, poor people are deprived – on average – in 49 percent of the weighted deprivations, whereas in Mauritania, the poor are deprived – on average – in 56 percent of the weighted deprivations. By combining the two pieces of information – the intensity of deprivations and the proportion of poor people – we know that these two countries are not equally poor, but rather that Mauritania (MPI=0.327) is poorer than Sierra Leone (MPI=0.293) because the intensity of poverty is higher among the poor. The MPI shows this difference.

A headcount ratio is also estimated using two other poverty cutoffs. The global MPI identifies individuals as **vulnerable** to poverty if they are close to the one-third threshold, that is, if they are deprived in 20 to 33.32 percent of weighted indicators. The tables also apply a higher poverty cutoff to identify those in **severe poverty**, meaning those deprived in 50 percent or more of the dimensions.

The AF methodology has a property that makes the global MPI even more useful—dimensional breakdown. This property makes it possible to consistently compute the percentage of the population who are multidimensionally poor and simultaneously deprived in each indicator. This

is known as the **censored headcount ratio** of an indicator. The weighted sum of censored headcount ratios of all MPI indicators is equal to the MPI value.

The censored headcount ratio shows the extent of deprivations among the poor but does not reflect the weights or relative values of the indicators. Two indicators may have the same censored headcount ratios but different contributions to overall poverty, because the contribution depends both on the censored headcount ratio and on the weight assigned to each indicator. As such, a complementary analysis to the censored headcount ratio is the **percentage contribution** of each indicator to overall multidimensional poverty.

In addition, we compute the **variance** measure. The multidimensionally poor people are deprived in anything from a third to 100 percent of MPI indicators. In other words, even though they are identified as multidimensionally poor, the intensity of poverty that they experience varies. The variance measure allows us to identify inequality among the poor. The computation and publication of the variance measure follows the methodology discussed in Seth and Alkire (2017) and Alkire and Foster (2019). The variance value is not reported in our data table if the value is based on a small number of multidimensionally poor people; specifically, if the headcount ratio (H) multiplied by the total sample size used to compute the MPI yields less than 400 observations.

Since 2020, as part of the global MPI output, we publish the proportion of **MPI poor who are destitute**. The destitution measure has precisely the same structure as the global MPI but applies extreme deprivation cutoffs for most indicators. The destitute are all already MPI poor but also experience a more extreme level of deprivation. This measure builds on the framework in Alkire, Conconi, and Seth (2014). The destitution cutoffs were revised in 2019 (see details in Alkire, Kanagaratnam and Suppa, 2020, [p.9](#)).

The results presented in our tables are based on sample surveys that use information from a fraction of the population to represent the whole population. Thus, it is important to compute a measure of confidence for each estimate from a sample survey. The computation of the **standard errors** is based on the linearized variance estimator (or first-order Taylor series approximation), correcting for single sampling unit stratum using the centered method in the ‘svy’ Stata command. We have also taken into account of the two-stage clustering and stratification of the sample.

## 4. Tool to estimate MPI

The global MPI estimates are produced using the Stata package ``mpitb`` which is documented in Suppa (2022). ``mpitb`` facilitates the estimation of measures such as the MPI (adjusted headcount ratio), the H (headcount ratio), the A (intensity), the censored and uncensored headcount ratios, and percentage contribution of each indicator. ``mpitb`` supports estimations at national level relevant to this methodological note. The package produces estimations by population subgroups that are defined in Alkire, Kanagaratnam and Suppa (2022a), namely age groups, rural and urban areas, subnational regions and gender of household head; as well as to include any other subgroup disaggregations that are possible with the survey sample. ``mpitb`` supports the estimation of levels and change between time periods for each of the measure specified in Alkire, Kanagaratnam and Suppa (2023b) and across the different levels, namely national, and subgroups. ``mpitb`` also simplifies estimations and analyses in cross-country settings.

The package is available at the Statistical Software Components (SSC) Archive and on gitlab. The MPI toolbox is distributed free of charge under an MIT license. The package may be installed by issuing ``ssc install mpitb`` in Stata. To access its comprehensive help files issue ``help mpitb`` after the installation. ``mpitb`` requires Stata 16 or higher.

## 5. Policies for the global MPI

In this section, we highlight seven key policies – the use of new survey, the use of new information to improve existing indicators, the computation of the global poor population, the exclusion of non-usual household members, the treatment of household members about whom information in certain indicators is lacking, the treatment of datasets that lack any one of the 10 global MPI indicators, and the treatment of households with missing indicators.

### New survey data

The global MPI is updated when new data become available from the following sources: Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS), and national surveys. We also explore whether there are new national surveys in the public domain that have indicators comparable to those included in the global MPI. National surveys are considered in the absence of surveys produced by DHS and MICS, or if DHS and MICS data sets are more than three years older than the national surveys. The latter is a criterion introduced in 2019, so as to maximise the possibility of using internationally comparable surveys such as DHS and MICS.



## Indicator availability

Survey instruments such as DHS and MICS improve over time. Our policy while producing the national estimates using most recent survey of a country, is to use as much of the information that is available for the 10 global MPI indicators and to incorporate improvements in the new surveys. For example, in selected countries of MICS round 6 surveys, the electricity variable in the data included additional categories that goes beyond the usual question on ‘Does your household have electricity’ that is limited to the ‘yes’ or ‘no’ categories. Households with access to electricity were further probed on whether they were ‘interconnected to the grid’ or ‘off-grid with generator or isolated system. For the purpose of the global MPI, we identified households on the electricity grid and households that were powered by alternative sources of energy as non-deprived. Another example is when data on ownership of a computer or any hitherto missing asset in the past becomes available in recent surveys, these will be incorporated into the assets indicator.

In summary, MPI estimation for a given year will be the most accurate possible figure using the available data but may not be comparable across time. Indicator definitions must be harmonised for comparability over time. This we cover in detail in Methodological Note 57 (Alkire, Kanagaratnam and Suppa, 2023b).

## Population-weighted global aggregates

Since 2010 we have used a fixed population year to produce the global aggregations. We have also provided the population data for the related survey year in the [Data Tables](#) for those who prefer this information. The headcount ratio for each country in the global MPI 2023 is multiplied by the total population for 2021, regardless of the year of the survey, in order to identify the number of MPI poor in any given country or across countries:

Number of MPI poor = H \* Total Population

This approach has the important advantage of comparison: it is possible to aggregate across countries to develop regional rankings, analyse country groupings such as low-income countries, and aggregate across regions. For example, using this approach we can generate the figure that 18 percent of the inhabitants in the 110 countries are MPI poor. Suppose the year of the population count (2021) is after the year of the survey. In that case, this approach provides an incentive for governments to update their poverty data, because after updating the ‘number of poor’ will decline if poverty rates have gone down and if these are not overturned by strong population growth. The approach also has limitations. In using a headcount ratio that is older than (or more recent than) the reference year of the survey, the assumption is being made that the level of poverty in the year

of the survey and the year of population count are identical. We acknowledge that this is a strong assumption, but it is clear that changes in the global MPI over time do not justify alternative assumptions such as linear extrapolation.

The population count years used for aggregate estimates of the global MPI are updated by one year, annually. As in past years, the current data tables also include the population during the year of the survey, as well as population figures for both the reference year (2021) and the year before (2020). The source of population data is the [World Population Prospects](#) medium-fertility variant, which is published by the Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations (2022).

### **Excluding non-usual residents**

The DHS datasets define *de jure* residence as **usual** or legal residence. The ‘hv102’ variable in DHS datasets distinguishes *de jure* (usual) from non-*de jure* (non-usual) household members. In the global MPI, we only use information from usual residents and exclude information from non-usual household members. We exclude the information from the non-usual members because this makes it comparable to MICS, which collect information only from usual household members. In addition, the achievement of an occasional visitor (for example, in years of schooling) could cause the household to be non-deprived (in education), which would be misleading. The same principle is applied for national surveys that have variables that allows us to identify the non-usual members from the usual householders.

### **Applicable and non-applicable populations**

Three of the 10 global MPI indicators are not applicable to all households – nutrition, child mortality and school attendance. Households that do not have the relevant population are classed as non-deprived in that indicator.

*Nutrition* has two sub-populations of interest (young children and adult), with different applicable populations. Young children’s nutrition is not applicable to households with no children within the eligibility criteria (under 5 years old for most surveys) to be weighed and measured. We consider households that did not have any eligible children as non-deprived in child nutrition. Adult Body Mass Index (BMI) is not applicable to households where there were no eligible females or males to be measured anthropometrically. In the global MPI, data on adult BMI is mostly taken from DHS surveys and national surveys. In DHS surveys, information on adult nutrition is usually collected from eligible women aged 15 to 49 years who are *de jure* (usual) members of the household. Women eligible for anthropometric measures are identified using the *eligibility* variable

provided by DHS data providers. In some countries, such as Egypt, eligibility criteria exclude women who have never been married. In a number of DHS surveys, nutrition data is also collected from a subsample of adult men. In some other national surveys, nutrition data is collected from all age groups in the household (e.g. China, and Ecuador). The global MPI uses all available data on nutrition, up to the age of 70 years ( $\leq 840$  months in age) to construct the nutrition indicator. A cut-off of 70 years is applied because bone density changes in higher age cohorts making BMI more complex to interpret. If a household is deprived in children's nutrition, BMI measure or both, then the household is deprived in nutrition. We consider households that have no eligible members to assess children's nutrition or BMI measure to be non-deprived.

*Child mortality* is based on birth history data provided by mothers aged 15 to 49. The birth history data ordinarily has the date of birth and death of each child. This tells us how old the child was when they died and how long before the survey year the death occurred. We consider households having no eligible women available to be interviewed as non-deprived in child mortality. In most DHS and MICS surveys, a sub-sample of eligible men have provided information on child mortality as well, but this lacks the date of birth and death of the child. Hence, the child mortality indicator is constructed solely from females. However, if the data from females are missing, and if the male in the household reported no child mortality, then we identify no child mortality in the household. In a few country surveys (e.g.: Argentina, Thailand, to name a few), birth history data was not collected, such as the date of birth and date of death of the child. In such cases, we have constructed the child mortality indicator using any child death reported by eligible women and men, as we cannot distinguish deaths that occurred in the past five years nor the age of the child who died. In these countries, households that did not have eligible women and men for individual interviews are identified as non-deprived.

*School attendance* is not applicable to households without children of school-age. We identify households that did not have children of school-age as non-deprived (we consider an eight-year span starting at the age at which a child should begin school in each country). The data sources for the age at which children start compulsory primary school are the MICS and DHS country survey reports, followed by confirmation using the [UIS Global Database](#).

### **Treatment of data set with missing indicators**

If a survey dataset is missing any of the 10 indicators that make up the global MPI, then that indicator cannot be used in the computation of the poverty measure and is omitted. Indicator weights of other indicators in that dimension are re-adjusted accordingly, such that each dimension continues to be given a weight of one-third. For example, suppose one living standards indicator

is missing such as the case of China's CFPS 2014 data set that lacks housing indicator. In that case, while originally each of the living standards indicators received a relative weight of 1/18 (5.56 percent), the remaining indicators in the case of China will receive a relative weight of 1/15 (6.66 percent). If one health or education indicator is missing, the other indicator will receive the full indicator weight of one-third. If all indicators in any dimension are missing, the dataset does not qualify to be included in the global MPI.

### **Dropping households who are missing any indicator**

Once each indicator has been constructed, we only use households that have complete information in all the constructed indicators for the poverty estimates. Households that lack data on any indicator are dropped from the final analytical sample. The percentage of the sample that is dropped is reported in [OPHI's Data Table 1](#) (see sheet 1.7 'Sample Size & Non-Response'). The sample size after the treatment of missing data must be reasonably high. This is because a lower sample size may affect accurate comparability across subnational estimations. The policies for MPI estimation by subnational regions is discussed in Methodological Note 56 (Alkire, Kanagaratnam and Suppa, 2023a).

## **6. Survey details of global MPI 2023**

The 2023 global MPI estimations are based on survey data from 110 countries. By contrast, the global MPI 2022 covered 111 countries, while the global MPI 2021, 2020, 2019 and 2018 covered 109, 107, 101, and 105 countries respectively. The number of countries covered in each round varies for two reasons: (1) whether new/updated surveys are available; and (2) whether countries from previous rounds are dropped from the recent round because the surveys for these countries are considered outdated.

### **New and updated country surveys**

This 2023 round covers two new countries (Fiji and Uzbekistan) and six more recent survey datasets (Table 2). Collectively, the eight new and updated surveys represent some 486 million or close to 8 percent of the population living in the developing regions of the world.

Three of these new or updated surveys were released by MICS, while two were released by DHS in a period of 10.5 months, that is, from 01 June 2022 to 15 April 2023. In addition, we updated Peru, Mexico and Mozambique using national surveys that are available in the public domain. The cleaning and standardisation of the new and updated surveys follows the 2019 global MPI indicator

specifications, in addition to the minor innovations introduced in 2020 for the years of schooling, drinking water, and sanitation indicators (see Alkire, Kanagaratnam and Suppa [2019](#), [2020](#) for details on the specifications).

**Table 2. List of new and updated surveys used in the global MPI 2023**

Country	Survey	Year
Cambodia	DHS	2021-2022
Fiji	MICS	2021-2022
Madagascar	DHS	2021
Mexico	ENSANUT	2021
Mozambique	IOF	2019-2020
Nigeria	MICS	2021
Peru	ENDES	2021
Uzbekistan	MICS	2021-2022

### Survey coverage in 2023

The survey coverage for the 110 countries included in the global MPI 2023 is between 2011 and 2021-2022. A total of seventy-two countries—home to 72 percent of multidimensionally poor people—have data fielded in the last five years, that is, in 2016-2017 or later.

The primary data sources for the global MPI are the DHS (43 countries) and MICS (54 countries) surveys that are open access. For two countries, the source of the data is the Pan Arab Project for Family Health (PAPFAM) surveys. In the countries for which none of these internationally comparable surveys were available, national surveys that contained information on the MPI indicators were used if high-quality surveys with the same indicators were available, and if they were in the public domain or if countries requested to be included in the global MPI. For example, in the global MPI 2023, we have used national data for 11 countries, namely Bolivia, Botswana, Brazil, China, Ecuador, Jamaica, Mexico, Mozambique, Peru, Seychelles, and Sri Lanka.

### Countries excluded from previous rounds

In the 2023 round, we excluded any country whose dataset was fielded in 2010, so is considered out of date. Thus, we excluded Bhutan<sup>3</sup>, Burkina Faso and South Sudan – all fielded in 2010 –

<sup>3</sup> The Bhutan Living Standards Survey (BLSS) microdata was shared by the National Statistics Bureau of Bhutan on 21 April 2023. We warmly acknowledge the team in the Bureau for compiling and sharing the microdata. We were

from the global MPI estimation. In the 2022 round, we excluded Syria, a 2009 dataset. In the 2021 round, we would have excluded any country whose most recent survey was fielded in 2008, but there was no such country. In the 2020 round, we excluded Vanuatu as the survey was fielded in 2007. Four countries were excluded in the 2019 round, namely, Azerbaijan, Djibouti, Somalia, and Uzbekistan, as their surveys were fielded in 2006. But Uzbekistan was re-introduced into this round of global MPI since a new 2021-2022 survey was made available by MICS. All of the surveys that have been included in each of the thirteen rounds of the global MPI (2010-2011; 2013-2023) and its estimates are published in OPHI's Data Table 8.

## 7. Country specific considerations

This section details the country-specific standardised decisions concerning indicator availability and data treatment for each of the eight new or updated countries included in the global MPI 2023.

[Cambodia](#) (DHS 2021-2022). Anthropometric information was recorded for children 0-59 months and women 15-49 living in the 50 percent of households sampled for the male interview. The survey report by NIS, MoH and ICF (2023) presents the nutritional status of children (p.233-4) and the nutritional status of women (p.247-8) by the 25 provinces in Cambodia. This suggests that anthropometric estimates based on the subsample of households are representative at the subnational level. As such, the global MPI estimation for Cambodia is based on this 50 percent subsample. Besides information on cooking fuel, this survey also collected information on the type of cookstove. Individuals who reported using 'other' type of cooking fuel with stone stove or open fire stove were identified as using unimproved cooking fuel because these stoves primarily rely on solid fuel.

[Fiji](#) (MICS 2021-2022). Weight and height measurement were collected for women age 15-49 years, in addition to children age under 5 years (Fiji Bureau of Statistics, 2022, p.6). We have used information from both children and women to construct the final nutrition indicator.

[Madagascar](#) (DHS 2021): Anthropometric data was collected from children 0 to 59 months in age and women aged 15 to 49 years living in the 50 percent of households sampled for the male interview. The survey report by INSTAT and ICF (2022) presents the nutritional status of children (p.230-1) and the nutritional status of women (p.243-4) by the 23 subnational regions in

---

not able to include the updated survey in this 2023 round because the cut-off for including new or updated surveys was 15 April 2023. The new survey data of this country will be included in the 2024 round of the global MPI.

Madagascar. This suggests that anthropometric estimates based on the 50 percent subsample of households are representative at the subnational level. As such, the global MPI estimation for Madagascar is based on this 50 percent subsample.

[Mexico](#) (ENSANUT 2021): The National Health and Nutrition Survey (Ensanut) 2021 is a national survey that is open access and is part of the Continuous 2020-2024 series of surveys that make up the National Health Survey System (SNES), created by the Ministry of Health of Mexico. All children under 5 years was eligible for anthropometric measurement. We have used information from children under 5 to construct the final nutrition indicator. In addition, anthropometric data was also collected from selected individuals 5 years and older. However, we did not use this data because of high non-response rate and potential bias. Specifically, a selective sample selection for measurement was applied for those in the age group of 5 years and older. The survey report explains that only one person was selected for measurement from the age group of 5-9; 10-19; and a 0.8 probability of selection was applied to those between 20 years and older (Shamah-Levy, Romero-Martinez, Barrientos-Gutierrez and others, 2022, p.19). The aim of this unique sampling selection is to measure at least one person in the household among those of school-age, adolescent, and adults. However, a high non-response rate among these group of people meant that only 58 percent of the households in the survey sample had at least one person with measurement data. In addition, the selection of individuals in each of the age groups between 5 years and older is independent of sex. A higher response rate was recorded among women than men because it was easier to reach women at home. This introduced bias for the bmi-for-age anthropometric measure that is sensitive to the sex of a respondent between the age of 5-19 years. This data set collected information on child mortality from selected women 15-49 years. However, we did not use the child mortality data as the sample selection is not representative of the female population in the 15-49 age group. As such, we re-weight the nutrition indicator nutrition to obtain equal weights among the three dimensions. This data set lacks information on the time it takes to collect drinking water. The survey does not include information on whether the household owns a bicycle or an animal cart, so the assets indicator does not include these items.

[Mozambique](#) (IOF 2019-2020). This is a national survey that is [open access](#). This data set lacks information on child mortality. We re-weight the nutrition indicator to obtain equal weights among the three dimensions. Anthropometric data was collected from children under 5 years. The information on oedema was collected as part of the anthropometric questionnaire. However, the information was not used while computing the underweight and stunting indicators because the information collected was on bilateral oedema and not nutritional oedema or pitting oedema.

Furthermore, the information was reported by parents or guardians; making the information less reliable compared to when it is measured. The possession of durable goods or assets was collected as part of the household expenditure module (INE, 2021). Household reported the list of durable goods, how many of the items they own, which was purchased in the last 12 months, last 3 years and the purchase value. For our work, we identified the relevant durable or asset items, namely, car, used car, television, radio, mobile telephone, landline telephone, refrigerator, freezer, bicycle, motorbike, computer, laptop and animal cart from the list of all the reported durable goods. However, 235 households did not report the possession of any durable goods. We assume these households owned zero relevant assets.

[Nigeria](#) (MICS 2021). Anthropometric data was not part of the 2021 data collection. We re-weight the child mortality indicator to obtain equal weights among the three dimensions. A supplemental sample was selected for the National Immunization Coverage Survey (NICS), for which only the household questionnaire and child immunization module were administered (NBS and UNICEF, 2022). The women's and men's questionnaires, which is used to collect the child mortality data was not administered through the NICS sample. As such we exclude the NICS sample and only retain the MICS sample for the global MPI analysis. The exclusion of the NICS sample did not impact the sample representation because the MICS sample is representative up to the 36 states and the capital city of Abuja.

[Peru](#) (ENDES 2021). This is a national survey that is open access. Height and weight measurements were collected from all children under 5 and for all women aged 12 to 49 years living in the households sampled. Information on child mortality was collected for all women aged 15 to 49 who slept the night before in the household. Households using bottled water for drinking are classified as using an improved or unimproved source according to their water source for non-drinking activities.

[Uzbekistan](#) (MICS 2021-2022). This survey collected data across two rounds. The first-round data was collected in April - June 2021. However, due to Covid restrictions, data collection was redesigned resulting in several indicators being excluded or only partially collected in the first round (State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics and UNICEF, p.4). Following this, the survey provider conducted a second round of data collection from November 2021 - January 2022 with reduced questionnaire. The second round of the survey used the same sample design approach as in the first round, but with a relatively smaller sample size that is representative at the national level; by urban and rural; and for the 6 geo-economic regions. The data analysis of



the findings from both rounds showed that the second round's findings better represent the situation in Uzbekistan (State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics and UNICEF, p.5). This is because all indicators related to living standard (electricity, toilet facility, drinking water, housing, cooking fuel, and asset) and child mortality was collected only in the second round. In addition, separate sample weights were calculated for each round of data collection. As such, the global MPI is estimated using only the sample from the second round. The nutrition indicator was excluded since anthropometric data was only collected in the first round. We re-weight the child mortality indicator to obtain equal weights among the three dimensions.

## 8. References

- Alkire, S., Kanagaratnam, U., and Suppa, N. (2023a). The global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2023 disaggregation results and methodological note. OPHI MPI Methodological Note 56, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S., Kanagaratnam, U., and Suppa, N. (2023b). A methodological note on the global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2023 changes over time results for 84 countries. OPHI MPI Methodological Note 57, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S., Kanagaratnam, U., Nogales, R., and Suppa, N. (2022). Revising the global Multidimensional Poverty Index: Empirical insights and robustness. *Review of Income and Wealth*, DOI 10.1111/roiw.12573.
- Alkire, S., Oldiges, C., and Kanagaratnam, U. (2021). Examining multidimensional poverty reduction in India 2005/6–2015/16: Insights and oversights of the headcount ratio. *World Development*, 142, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2021.105454>
- Alkire, S., & Kanagaratnam, U. (2021) Revisions of the global multidimensional poverty index: indicator options and their empirical assessment. *Oxford Development Studies*, 49:2, 169-183, DOI: 10.1080/13600818.2020.1854209
- Alkire, S., Kanagaratnam, U., and Suppa, N. (2020). The global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2020. OPHI MPI Methodological Note 49, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S. and Foster, J.E. (2019). The role of inequality in poverty measurement. OPHI Working Paper 126, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S., Kanagaratnam, U. and Suppa, N. (2019). The Global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2019. OPHI MPI Methodological Note 47, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S., Ul Haq, R. and Alim, A. (2019). The state of multidimensional child poverty in South Asia: a contextual and gendered view. OPHI Working Paper 127, University of Oxford.

- Alkire, S. and Jahan, S. (2018). The new global MPI 2018: Aligning with the Sustainable Development Goals. OPHI Working Paper 121, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S., Conconi, A., Robles, G., Roche, J.M., Santos, M.E., Seth, S. and Vaz, A. (2015). The global Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI): Five-year methodological note. OPHI Briefing 37, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S., Foster, J.E., Seth, S., Santos, M.E., Roche, J.M. and Ballon, P. (2015). *Multidimensional Poverty Measurement and Analysis: A Counting Approach*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Alkire, S., Conconi, A. and Seth, S. (2014). Measuring destitution in developing countries: An ordinal approach for identifying linked subset of multidimensionally poor. OPHI Research in Progress 42a, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Alkire, S. and Santos, M.E. (2014). Measuring acute poverty in the developing world: Robustness and scope of the Multidimensional Poverty Index. *World Development*, vol. 59, pp. 251–274.
- Alkire, S. and Foster, J.E. (2011). Counting and multidimensional poverty measurement. *Journal of Public Economics*, vol. 95(7–8), pp. 476–487.
- Alkire, S. and Santos, M.E. (2010). Acute multidimensional poverty: A new index for developing countries. OPHI Working Paper 38, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, University of Oxford.
- Fiji Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Fiji Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2021: Survey Findings Report*. Suva, Fiji: Fiji Bureau of Statistics.
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). (2022). *Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2021: Survey Findings Report*. Abuja, Nigeria: NBS and UNICEF.
- National Institute of Statistics (INSTAT) and ICF. (2022). *Demographic and Health Survey in Madagascar 2021*. Antananarivo, Madagascar and Rockville, Maryland, USA: INSTAT and ICF.
- National Institute of Statistics. Cambodia (NIS), Ministry of Health Cambodia (MoH), and ICF. (2023). *Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2021–22 Final Report*. Phnom Penh, Cambodia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIS, MoH, and ICF.
- National Institute of Statistics – Mozambique (INE). (2021). *Household Budget Survey – IOF 2019/20 Final Report*. Maputo, Mozambique.
- OPHI. (2018). *Global Multidimensional Poverty Index 2018: The Most Detailed Picture to Date of the World’s Poorest People*. Report. Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI), University of Oxford. ISBN 978-1-912291-12-0.
- Seth, S. and Alkire, S. (2017). Did poverty reduction reach the poorest of the poor? Complementary measures of poverty and inequality in the counting approach. In S.

- Bandyopadhyay (Ed.), *Research on Economic Inequality* (vol. 25, pp. 63–102), Bingley: Emerald Publishing.
- Shamah-Levy, T., Romero-Martinez, M., Barrientos-Gutierrez, T., Cuevas-Nasu, L., Bautista-Arredondo, S., Mattress, M.A., Gaona-Pineda, E.B., Lazcano-Ponce, E., Martinez-Barnetche, J., Alpuche-Arana, C., and Rivera-Dommarco, J. (2022). *National Health and Nutrition Survey 2021 on Covid-19 National results*. Cuernavaca, Mexico: National Institute of Public Health.
- State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics and United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). (2022). *2021-2022 Uzbekistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey: Survey Findings Report*. Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent: State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics and UNICEF.
- Suppa, N. (2022). *mpitb: A toolbox for multidimensional poverty indices*. OPHI Research in Progress 62a, Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI), University of Oxford.
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (UNDESA) (2022). *World Population Prospects 2022*, Online Edition.
- Vollmer, F. and Alkire, S. (2022). Consolidating and improving the assets indicator in the global Multidimensional Poverty Index. *World Development*, No. 158, 105997.